DOI:10.22337/2587-9618-2023-19-2-188-204

ACOUSTIC EMISSION-BASED DAMAGE DETECTION IN STEEL FRAMED STRUCTURE- A REVIEW

Mr. Anupam Kumar Biswas [1,2], Dr. Aloke Kumar Datta [1], Dr. Pijush Topdar [1], Dr. Sanjay Sengupta [2]

[1] NIT Durgapur, Durgapur, INDIA
[2] Dr. B.C. Roy Engineering College, Durgapur, INDIA

Abstract: Steel structures are commonly utilized in vast areas in industries, and also now a days they are used in residential settings as well. Structures made of steel is a better alternative as their constructions have high strength, light weight and quick compared to other construction materials. Steel structure degradation is frequently related to an engineering system's underperformance and leads to collapse. Therefore, it is essential to identify the problem and take remedial steps to make sure that structures function as intended throughout their design lives. Among the best non-destructive assessment methods for finding problems is acoustic emission (AE). The current study evaluates the available literature on this method in a few major areas and discusses historical advances in each category. The pros and cons of each approach are discussed, and future study directions are suggested. This review examines the fundamental Acoustic Emission techniques and contemporary research to identify damage in different types of steel structures using various localization approaches. This research aims to find the ideal placement for a real-time sensor to detect deterioration in a steel-framed construction. Finally, the artificial intelligence techniques used to identify deterioration in the steel frame construction are discussed.

Keywords: Acoustic Emission; AE Technique; Structural Damage detection; Steel Frame Structure

ОБНАРУЖЕНИЕ ПОВРЕЖДЕНИЙ В СТАЛЬНЫХ РАМНЫХ КОНСТРУКЦИЯХ АКУСТИЧЕСКИМ МЕИОДОМ: АНАЛИТИЧЕСКИЙ ОБЗОР

Г-н Анупам Кумар Бисвас [1,2], д-р Алоке Кумар Датта [1], д-р Пиюш Топдар [1], д-р Санджай Сенгупта [2]

[1] Национальный институт технологий Дургапура, г. Дургапур, ИНДИЯ
[2] Инженерный колледж им. д-ра Б. К. Роя, г. Дургапур, ИНДИЯ

Аннотация: Стальные конструкции широко используются в промышленности, а в настоящее время они применяются и в жилых зданиях. Конструкции из стали являются лучшей из альтернатив, так как их конструкции обладают высокой прочностью, малым весом и быстровозводимостью по сравнению с другими строительными материалами. Деградация стальных конструкций часто связана с недостаточной эффективностью инженерных систем и приводит к их разрушению. Поэтому очень важно выявить проблему и принять меры по ее устранению, чтобы убедиться, что конструкции функционируют так, как предполагалось, в течение всего проектного срока службы. Одним из лучших неразрушающих методов оценки для поиска повреждений является акустическая эмиссия (АЭ). В данном исследовании оценивается доступная литература по этому методу в нескольких основных областях и обсуждаются исторические достижения в каждой из категорий. Обсуждаются плюсы и минусы каждого подхода, а также предлагаются направления будущих исследований. В данном обзоре рассматриваются фундаментальные методы акустической эмиссии и современные исследования по выявлению повреждений в различных типах стальных конструкций с использованием различных подходов к обнаружению повреждений. Цель данного исследования - найти оптимальное расположение датчика для обнаружения повреждений в стальных конструкциях в режиме реального времени. В заключение обсуждаются методы искусственного интеллекта, используемые для выявления повреждений в стальных конструкциях.

Ключевые слова: акустическая эмиссия; метод акустической эмиссии; обнаружение структурных повреждений; стальная рама

1. INTRODUCTION

Steel, a blend of carbon and iron alloy, is one of the most often used materials in the industry due to its wear- and heat-resistant properties, strength, and fatigue resistance. Among all structural building materials, it is the most widely applicable and adaptable, enabling it to flex without splitting and making it particularly effective at absorbing and dispersing energy. Steel is renowned for providing a framework in architecture that is unmatched by any other substance. Because steel has such high tension and compression strengths, flexibility, and malleability, its components are designed to withstand the elements. Structural steel can take many different forms, including I-beams, Z-beams, HSS-beams, L-beams (angle), structural channels (C-beams with cross sections), T-beams, Rail profiles, bars, rods, plates, open joists made of web steel, and so on. Modern research is becoming increasingly interested in managing any expensive infrastructure. From a techno-economic standpoint, preserving these infrastructural facilities in overall and physical infrastructures in specific is essential. The technical and scientific communities are concerned about departing from their usual functioning. Such deviations or malfunctions are sometimes brought on by structural damage resulting from poor design or construction, an excessive load, ageing, or a natural disaster. Such structural damages call for appropriate corrective action if a structure is to last the whole of its design life. However, the location of the harm and the evaluation of its scope are necessary for such treatments.

An abrupt reorganisation of stresses in a substance results in the formation of an elastic wave known as acoustic emissions. In instance, for damage identification & structure health management, it is often used in non-destructive testing (NDT) of substances including constructions. The fracture activity is quite sensitive to by the AE technique. The benefit of the acoustic emission (AE) approach is that it allows actual structure surveillance by quickly identifying injury which works as an emission source. In other words, the building can be watched in actual. The structure is equipped with AE sensors that detect damage in real-time. Installing detectors inside a building to identify damages in actual time is expensive. As a consequence, in order to conserve money, the quantity of sensors used for structural health surveillance must be reduced to a minimum. The motion of certain fault, including a fracture that might begin but then propagate, which is the reason of acoustic emission waves, results in the redistribution of a stress field within the sample & produces the subsequent AE waves. Rapid energy transfer from a material's interior, which may be caused by factors including crack nucleation or expansion, material dislocations, or yielding, causes high-frequency stress waves [1]. A sensor can capture AE waves, which may be analyzed to learn more about the emission source [2]. The results of the literature review show that analytical studies make up the majority of research on the placement of AE sensors for real-time monitoring in steel-framed buildings, but no such real-world trials where been performed [3-6]. Various structural damage localization strategies are documented in the literature for this situation; among them, the acoustic AE methodology is significant and shows promise for usage in steel structural health monitoring applications. As a result, this article examines steel frame structure damage and categorization based on acoustic emission.

2. IMPORTANT AE PARAMETRIC FEATURES

The parametric features are commonly utilized in AE NDT to study and analyze damage in an object.

AE Event: The parameterization properties of the elasticity waves are created within the substrate are shown by the time domain or frequency domain of the acoustic wave signal. During AE testing, it is the whole AE wave representation.

AE Hit: An AE hit occurs when the AE signals from one channel surpasses the consumer threshold. A test of numerous platforms or an AE event may have multiple hits.

Maximum Amplitude (Amplitude): Greatest amplitude is the maximum amplitude of an AE hit as expressed in voltage or decibels (dB). AE waves that are not captured as AE signals have a peak amplitude lower than the threshold line specified by the user.

Count: The number of pulses in an AE hit that are more than a consumer threshold value is known as a count.

Rise Time: Rise time is the time required for an AE to increase from its initial threshold crossing amplitude to its peak amplitude.

Duration: An AE impact's duration is measured from its initial threshold crossing amplitude to its final threshold crossing amplitude.

Energy: Energy is the collective term for the region beneath the detecting envelope during an AE hit.

Peak Frequency: The frequency component (kHz) in an AE wave spectrum corresponding to the highest amplitude is called the peak frequency.

Frequency on Average: It is the typical frequency of an AE impact. It may be planned by dividing "count" by "duration" and is related to both count and period. It can unevenly predict the signal frequency (once the AE waveform is impossible to store). The entire signal is an acoustic emission effect signal.

Center Frequency: The frequency component (kHz) related to the centre of gravity is what is referred to as the centre frequency in an AE wave spectrum.

Initial Frequency: The beginning frequency of an AE spectrum is indicated by this value. It's calculated by dividing the quantity of "counts" till the peak by the "rise time."

Reverberation Frequency: The connection among total count with beginning count is divided by the connection among period as well as rising time to obtain the reverberation frequency.

RA Score: To determine it, divide the lengthened duration by the highest amplitude (amplitude). It is the inverse of the gradient in the AE signal waveform which indicates the kind of fractures in the unit of ms/V.

b-value; Ib-value: These characteristics constitute a novel technique based on the cumulative event frequency–magnitude distribution, specifically designed for seismic applications. The b-Value approach was originally designed to analyze seismic data populations, but it has since been effectively used on the subject of corrosion [8].
The b-value is defined as:

$$-\log N = a - b\left(\frac{A_{dB}}{20}\right)$$

N refers to the sum of events with an amplitude greater than A_{dB} where A_{dB}.b is the event magnitude. B is referred to as the b-value. The enhanced b-value (Ib-value) was presented in [7] as a means of resolving the problems with defining amplitude range and N.

$$Ib = \frac{\left(\log_{10} N(\omega_1) - \log_{10} N(\omega_2)\right)}{\left(\sigma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\right)}$$

where N(ω1) and N(ω2) are the overall amount of AE events with amplitudes greater than μ − α_1σ, and μ + α_2σ accordingly. μ is the mean value of the magnitude distributions for a certain collection of events, mathitα_1 and mathitα_2 are empiric constants, but also σ is the standard deviation of the magnitude distribution for every set of occurrences [9].

3. AE SENSORS AND DATA ACQUISITION

In actual AE experiments, the piezoelectric sensor is frequently utilized as an AE sensor. Typically, the sensor is of the contact type but has a piezoelectric element protected by a tough metal shell as well as an electric connector for conducting the produced electrical impacts. The sensor structure is powered by the lead zirconate titanate piezoelectric effect (PZT). This kind of sensor is reasonably priced, sensitive, as well as works well in AE investigations to convert mechanical device to electric signal.

The frequency requested in the experiment influences the choice of an appropriate AE sensor. Choosing the appropriate AE sensor for AE testing depends on the frequency response of the propagating elastic wave because the propagation path as well as process to the AE sensor both seriously affect this frequency response.

The proper sensor for AE tests to pressure vessels, storage vessels, heat transfer, pipes, reactor, aerial lift gadgets, nuclear power plants, including biomedical regions are suitably formulated depends on their essential frequency band. According to the frequency band for AE evaluations used by various businesses, sensors are divided into three categories: low-frequency range sensors (20-100 kHz), middle or standard range sensors (100-400 kHz), but also high-frequency range sensors (400 kHz). Companies like Physical Acoustic Corporation (PAC), Vallen System Company, as well as others [10–13] create sensors for the type of commercial AE sensor with high sensitivity.

3.1 AE Wave Propagation and Source Location

The transmission of high-frequency acoustic emission waves may be strongly affected by signal attenuation but also distortion depending on the material, including steel, concrete, or brick/mortar. In Table 1, the highest source sensor distances & typical AE wave velocities for steel are contrasted with those for other building materials. Variation in wave velocity can found with different materials like lesser velocity in brick masonry whereas increasing trend can be seen concrete and steel respectively.

Table 1. Typical AE wave velocities and maximum source-sensor distances for various construction materials.

Materials	The velocity of waves used [m/s]	References
Clay brick masonry	500-2000	[27]
Concrete	2500-4000	[27]
Steel	4000-5500	[27]

The AE approach can be used to locate faults before assessing the damage progression including fracture type by utilising a number of sensors or source location approaches including triangulation [14–17]. Acoustic emission sources in masonry are hard to locate due to the orthotropic structure of the brick and mortar levels, which results in the elastic wave propagating at varied speeds and directions. Second, the porous material's high wave attenuation limits the maximum source sensor distance. Bricks and blocks have higher AE wave velocities than mortar joints. Brick and concrete contacts so serve as reflecting surfaces. As a result, it is advised that every sensor undergo a recognition limit study during site surveillance.

3.2 Source Localization Methods

In this literature, we are using AE technique where several approaches are there for locating sources. Few of them are classified are Wavelet Transform Analysis, Wavelet Transform Analysis and Modal Location (WTML) method, Finite Element Analysis and Geometric Method.

3.2.1 Source Localization by Wavelet Transform Analysis

Wavelet Transform (WT) is a time-frequency analysis-based mathematical process for analyzing non-stationary signals such as transient AE waves. The wavelet is a time-based signal that is an oscillating signal with one or more cycles. Based on location and scale, this wavelet is utilized to decompose the waveform into several component portions called coefficients. WT gives high frequency and temporal coefficients in two dimensions. The wavelet coefficient represents the wavelet's proportion of correlation with the waveform, and its value indicates the data's third dimension, the amplitude or intensity associated with it. The wavelet technique can view the waveform signal's frequency and time (position). WT fair value indicates that a wave is localized in time and has finite energy. Because of this localized quality, time-domain analysis of given signals can be performed without loss of information.

Rao et al. [18] introduced proof pressure testing of pressure vessels, and acoustic emission (AE) monitoring is used to detect any crack growth-related phenomena. When performing AE monitoring, it is common to discover that the background noise is extremely high. Along with the noise, the signal contains different phenomena such as crack propagation, fastener rubbing, leaks, and so on. Due to noise, identifying the signature of the original signals associated with the above phenomena becomes challenging. In such cases, the wavelet processing technique is more appropriate for analyzing AE signals. The AE data is de-noised using the wavelet processing technique. The de-noised signal is categorized to determine a signature corresponding to the type of phenomenon. In such cases, the wavelet processing technique is more appropriate for analyzing AE signals. The AE data is de-noised using the wavelet processing technique.

3.2.2 Source Localization by Finite Element Analysis

Researchers have recently attempted using the AE approach to use Finite Element Analysis (FEA) for damage localization. However, source severity evaluation requires additional information that can be provided by FE analysis with laboratory fatigue tests. Future years will see an increase in fatigue. Finding damage areas in steel bridges is best accomplished with the AE method. To discover harm from fatigue cracks at welds with shear stud movement at the steel-concrete interface, worldwide and local arrays of the time of arrival source location approaches might be consumed. FE analysis is also useful for detecting regions that are likely to be problematic.

Ai, Li, et al [19] proposed a Finite Element (FE) assessment of a bridge particle is associated to field research location results, confirming regions of likely crack location. The flexural as well as extensional Lamb modes' appearance but also dispersive activity in digitised AE signals were identified. Bandpass frequency filtering is used to demonstrate modal isolation by comparing the frequency properties of the two states. This space is utilized to compute the source-to-sensor distance. AE bridge surveillance might be consumed to position both anticipated but also unforeseen sources, giving precise information on a problem area.

3.2.3 Source Localization by Geometric Method

The triangulation method is used to map the locations of acoustic generators throughout the past three decades. To locate the audio origin in a two-dimensional workstation, three randomly arranged AE sensors are used for sound waves arrival time analysis (i.e. plane surface). On the basis of the path variances among the first, second, as well as third sensors, that have calculated by multiplying the variations in arrival times to the sensors as well as the wave velocity, the position of the acoustic signal was determined analytically utilising polar coordinates in relation to a reference sensor.

Kosnik et al. [20] proposed Two substantial steel Interstate Highway arches, one with a cantilever through truss another with a trapezoidal box girder, were subjected to acoustic emission (AE) analysis on specifics. Quantification activity levels in areas where cracks are confirmed or assumed to exist by observing AE under typical service loads (e.g., live traffic and wind). Cluster analysis offered valuable insight into the horizontal web's acoustic emission characteristics. The clustering approach was first created to monitor the welding process. As a result, many AE events are identified in a highly confined cluster. While other techniques, such as ultrasonic testing, need up-close access to the crack, AE testing may find and describe a crack within a larger area, making it a more forgiving method in terms of access and precision. A steel bridge detail's stress field is frequently complex, with highly localized stress concentrations. AE is most suited to monitoring small areas of a few tens of square feet in high-stress places like connections.

3.3 Damage Diagnostics in Steel Structure with AE

Damage placement, damage recognition, then damage accumulation recognition are the three stages of damage detection with AE. The initial layer is concerned with determining the damage beginning criteria, regardless of the injury. The impact is then localised using a network of AE sensors but several localization techniques in the second level. In the end, signal processing, supervised classification, then unsupervised clustering methods are used to extract the damage from the AE dataset.

The acoustic emission signals were investigated by Amer, A. Ould, et al. [21] to identify the acoustic fingerprints connected to a certain damage mechanism. Signals from plastic deformation or the spread of fatigue fractures can be differentiated using an unsupervised classification method. Both these processes seem to be the main causes of acoustic emissions in isotropic materials. The key findings are classifying auditory signals into different classifications using multivariate statistical approaches. In austenitic stainless-steel alloys, a number of phenomena, including plastic deformation, martensitic transition, including fatigue fracture propagation, serve as AE sources. At varied total strain amplitudes, AE examined the low cycle fatigue of 304L stainless steel. An association among auditory signals with injury development is revealed by the increase in the EA's activation during fatigue tests. However, when the AE variables are in an area repair mode, even though the aspects mentioned regarding the damage correlating are unsure but also make allocating a signal to damage which happened challenging, the areas identified regarding the harm correlating are uncertain but also present in the area recovery mode for the fatigue tests. Different AE sources are categorized using the k-means cluster technique.

Arvindan, Sivasuriyan, and colleagues [22] looked on structural health monitoring (SHM) together with damage assessments for constructing buildings. The FEM was used to evaluate injury in steel bracing utilising weighted least squares and Bayesian estimation techniques in the first phases of the Eigen-sensitivity-based FE design. The research evaluated scenarios for evaluation including self-monitoring, as well as fault detection but also building evaluation by adding sensors while assuming weaknesses. Modern methods for collecting information & sensor technology enable routine real-time building surveillance. When analysing real-time experiments using analysis methods with sophisticated software, numerical modelling approaches known as the finite element method (FEM) and finite element analysis (FEA) are said. As a result, complicated analyses, such as stiffness and damping, can be carried out very easily and reliably using the FEM technique, even for multi-story buildings.

3.4 Literature Survey on Structural Health Monitoring by Acoustic Emission Technique

In the realm of structural health monitoring (SHM), acoustic emission can be employed for fault recoginition or condition monitoring. It is frequently used in the disciplines of non-destructive testing (NDT), non-destructive evaluation (NDE), with non-destructive monitoring (NDM) for various engineering applications because it is a non-invasive approach. Since its inception, similar applications of AE in seismology have been well documented.

The AE design to material testing is also frequently employed. The splitting, breakage, & unfavourable characteristics of structural parts, flexible components, brittle materials, as well as other components, along with composite materials, are assessed for a range of manufacturing but also biomedical uses. Furthermore, several smart AE tests are used to examine micro-structural studies as well as metallurgical characteristics of many materials. The AE method is also used to monitor various aeronautical structures. Because many sensors may be conveniently attached to various areas of aerospace structures, the AE approach can perform damage monitoring at a microscopic level as well as damage location in multidimensional characteristics. Wireless AE sensing devices are used in various smart AE techniques to provide real-time wireless monitoring [23]. The next section describes the approaches to Acoustic Emission Techniques, which detect the damages in different structures.

3.4.1 Damage Detection based on Acoustic Emission Techniques

The acoustic emission (AE) approach was proposed by Di et al. [24] which involved 36 direct pull-out experiments to observe the bonding behaviour of steel bars and fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) in self-compacting concrete (SCC). The FRP reinforced samples get a lower bond strength with a greater corresponding slip value than the steel-reinforced samples because of their low elastic modulus with varying surface treatment. However, acoustic emissions systems can only predict how much damage is there in the material and how long the components will survive on a qualitative basis.

Adamczak-Bugno et al. [25] proposed the acoustic emission signal (AE) in the diagnostics of procedural services is discussed in this article. One area of technology where the AE technique can be applied is in the monitoring of steel structure's technical condition. The ability to record and analyze the AE signal proved useful in diagnosing this structure. Moreover, metal deformation causes a weak AE, and the creation and growth of fractures are frequently accompanied by a significant AE, particularly in additional hard materials, i.e. those with less deformability with advanced strength. The link between AE signals and destructive processes paves the way for more research into statistical, probabilistic models that describe structural durability variations while considering actual working conditions and the severity of damage development assessed "in-situ."

Khan et al. [26] presented an external force (such as altering pressure, load, or temperature), a microfracture within the body to release energy in the form of an AE wave, which is detected by a sensor and turned into an electrical signal for inspection. Many studies are currently being conducted on the theories underlying AE production, transmission, and inspection in numerous domains as a vital health monitoring tool for NDT. a "feature view of AE" depends on historical, current, with future perspectives; Smarter structural health monitoring techniques with industrial as well as structural approaches, as well as an "AE monitoring" methodology utilizing theoretical & experimental perspectives. Parametric analysis but also AE source location are two main features of the AE approach in structural health monitoring.

Verstrynge et al. [27] proposed the literature on applying acoustic emission techniques to masonry structures, focusing on unique issues and current findings. In light of monitoring approaches, wave propagation, source localization, with the crack formation under static, fatigue, as well as creep loads, AE-based methods for damage assessment in masonry are explored. However, the technique's non-invasive nature and great sensitivity offer intriguing possibilities for locating damage, determining the severity of the damage, and determining the degradation rate, particularly for historic masonry structures. Acoustic emission information from periodic measurements were analysed to determine whether the technology might be used for on-site monitoring.

Debonding testing during the acoustic emission (AE) approach was developed by Ghiassiet al. [28] to examine interfacial fracture but also damage propagation in bricks reinforced with GFRP and SRG. Single-lap shear bond tests assess the activity of the bond while AE sensors monitor the development of the fracture. The fracture progress but also active debonding processes are described utilizing the AE procedure's findings. employing AE techniques to check the health of reinforced masonry constructions on the spot. The findings revealed that AE output could be effectively employed for investigating and interpreting the debonding fracture process.

Świt et al. [29] investigated the method of acoustic emission (AE), which is founded on destructive processes. The outcomes of using the AE approach to find potential candidates have been presented in this article as active damaging processes and monitoring their progression during the normal functioning of diverse machine structures of various kinds. A suitable technique must be designed to identify the beginning of the process of degradation as well as enable monitoring of its progress all across the entire chapter of the formation, not just in subjectively chosen areas. This is because locating as well as monitoring potential damage as well as assessing its effect on the structure of the system are both components of the service life assessment process.

The overall review of the Acoustic Emission Techniques is described in table 2, which is given below.

Table 2. Review of Damage Detection Techniques based on Acoustic Emission Techniques.

Ref. No	Advantages	Limitations	Performance Measures	Structures/ Technique
[24]	High strength, lightweight, long-term durability, and low maintenance costs	Damages in materials	more sensitive, larger measuring ranges	FRP and SCC/ AE
[25]	load-bearing capacity and serviceability	Very weak signals	Durability	Steel Structure/AE
[26]	characterizing damage propagation behaviour, overall damage monitoring system	smart applications in structural health monitoring	The event, Hit, Amplitude, Count, Rise Time, Duration, Frequency	General/.Parametric analysis with AE
[27]	Signal attenuation places restrictions on the placement and density of AE sensors.	Algorithms for locating sources and correcting waveforms in various brickwork types	Amplitude And Energy	Masonry structures
[28]	Due to its low weight-to-strength proportion & adaptability in regards to application, FRPs are a strong option.	due to the AE wave's considerable attenuation and disruption	arrival time, amplitude, count, period and energy, threshold, but also sampling frequency	Bricks
[29]	Assessments are designed to encompass the entire material being evaluated but can be carried out under real operational loads.	how long the components will last	Durability, safety, and usability	Machine structures/ Destructive processes

Based on the current works, we can infer that numerous works have been done for Acoustic Emission based on various constraints such as sensors, wave and propagation, source localization, and signal approaches outperforming other constraints.

3.4.2 Review of damage detection in steel frame structure

Black, C. J et al. [30] introduced the information offered to possible participants in the blind test on damage detection for a steel frame and the answer. The data for this test came from a one-third-scale steel frame structure finite element model. Damage was mimicked by removing members or modifying member attributes in a small area. The cost and time necessary to restore a structure could be greatly reduced if the degree and location of damage could be estimated using modal analysis techniques.

Modal flexibility (MF)-based methodologies in vibration-based damage detection (VBDD) were used by Bernagozzi, G. et al. [31] to establish an experimental flexibility matrix of materials that may be produced from recognized natural frequencies with the mode shapes. Using operational mode analytic methods, these properties can be obtained from ambient vibration (AV) information. Modal flexibility (MF)-based procedures in vibration-based damage detection (VBDD) are able to generate empirical versatility matrices of structures from known natural frequencies and mode shapes. Using functional mode analytic methods, these qualities can be extrapolated from ambient vibration signals.

Modal parameter changes were introduced by Dohler M. et al. [32]. However, in a recommended and successfully utilized subspace-based damage identification test, estimation of the modal properties is omitted. The new test, designed to resist shifting excitation qualities, performed substantially better in this environment, detecting damage far earlier than a preceding traditional test for damage identification. The applications in which the stimulation is ambient & uncontrolled should benefit from the supplied test resistive to excitation changes. The new procedure is anticipated to further accurately as well as earlier identify defects.

A wavelet-based structural damage identification method was proposed by Hou Z et al. [33]. It is regarded as a typical Fourier transform with a flexible window size and location. Its ability to analyse local information with a "zoom lens with changing focus" to generate a variety of levels of analysis including estimates of the original signal is one of wavelet analysis' strongest points. A detectability map explores the effects of noise level and damage severity.

The overall review of the steel frame structure is described in table 3, which is given below.

Table 3. Review of damage detection in the steel frame structure

Citation	Technique Used	Advantages	Limitations	Performance Measures	Structures
[30]	Modal Analysis Techniques/finite element model	The Cost And Time Required To Repair A Structure Could Be Significantly Reduced	Invisible to determine damage	White noise, Maximum Amplitude	Steel frame

[31]	Modal Flexibility/ambient vibration	By recognising the stories with routes, harm locations can be determined.	Modal Truncation Errors	Ambient Vibration	Plane shear-type
[32]	Subspace	Early but More Reliable Damage Detection	The Reversibility Of Generated Damages ensures Fatigue Crack, The Reproducibility Of Test Series.	Ambient Vibration, Threshold	Steel frame
[33]	Wavelet	Excessive Response, Crack Growth	Effects Of Noise Intensity And Damage Severity	Reliable, Efficient, And Low Cost	Steel frame

Based on those mentioned above, we may conclude that the steel frame structure has been utilized for various purposes such as noise level, amplitude, ambient vibration, threshold, piezoelectric sensor, Plane shear-type, and Finite Element Model.

3.4.3 Acoustic Emission in Steel Frame with Machine Learning Technique

To find steel fractures, the digital data was analysed then categorised using a deep learning algorithm after being normalised to reduce background noise. The deep learning-based AE method was applied locally to identify steel faults. The distinctive aspect of the research is the effective detection but also localization of steel cracks utilising a single AE sensor and an AE signal-based deep learning system.

Since the cracking method (strength properties or shearing) is connected to the underlying physical principles, Das et al. [34] established the Crack mode classification as a massively important technique to determine the harm status of a structural for good preservation to promote structural security with reliability. In this study, a framework was developed. The automatic probabilistic classification of cracks in cementitious components uses AE signals. The framework was utilised to analyse AE data from samples of direct stress Strain Hardening Cementitious Composite (SHCC) and bending Steel Fiber Reinforced Concrete (SFRC) beams. It is demonstrated that the cracking modes of the proposed ML method accord with composite theory expectations.

Azimi, M. et al. [35] developed various SHM applications by reviewing recent publications in SHM that use developing DL-based approaches. A better understanding is presented in form of many DL techniques, including deep neural networks, transfer learning, and others. Along with some of the latest innovations consumed for SHM, such as sensors including unmanned aerial vehicles, the method as well as application of vibration-based but also vision-based monitoring are explained (UAVs). The study is concluded with a discussion of the advantages and disadvantages of DL-based methods in SHM arrangements.

A straightforward experimental method was created by Paulraj, Murugesa Pandiyan, and colleagues to keep the steel plate in compliance with the supported boundary condition [36]. The steel structure's vibration patterns are collected using a simple methodology based on impact testing. Under typical circumstances, the steel plate's vibration signals are recorded. The Fishman criterion is used to determine the network's performance. SHM aims to assure high reliability and low maintenance costs over the structure's lifetime. The vibrating signal that travels through a steel plate when an impulse force is applied can detect damage. To recover the characteristics from the vibration analysis, feature extraction methods based on frame energy-based statistical time-domain signal processing techniques are created.

Structural damage detection, a hybrid method based on ANNs but also genetic algorithms, was proposed by Betti et al. [37]. Accelerations caused by ambient vibrations were observed as the frame's damage grew worse, as well as the damaged entity was determined by the depth of the cut. At every stage of damage, the fundamental characteristics of the steel frame (natural frequencies & modal geometries) were examined. The fact that the training could be performed on any structure due to the indexes' extensive range of attributes is one of the main benefits of feeding the system with the four indices stated above.

Table 4. Review of Acoustic Emission with Machine Learning

Citation	Technique Used	Advantages	Limitations	Performance Measures	Structures
[34]	Machine learning	Structural Safety, Durability	limitation, need for huge training set, plus loss of physical interpretations	RA values and Average frequency	Tensile or Shear
[35]	Deep Learning; Machine Learning	Performance By Cross-Validation, Accuracy.	Image-Processing For Crack Detection.	Feature Extraction, Pattern Classification, And Regression	Steel frame
[36]	Artificial Neural Network	high reliability, less maintenance	Energy that manifests as vibration is impacted	Activation Function, Learning Rate, And Momentum	steel structure
[37]	Artificial neural networks	Training is performed with different structures	Identifying A Proper Fitness Function; Non-Optimal Solution	Size, The Mutation and The Crossover Rate, The Elitism Rate, The Selection Methods	Three-Storey Steel Structure

Based on those mentioned earlier, we may conclude that acoustic emission with machine learning has been utilized for various purposes, such as Artificial neural networks, Deep Learning, and Machine Learning.

4. DISCUSSION

Various strategies for improving the accuracy of the AE parameters source locations and mechanisms have been devised, with some employed and others requiring more investigation. With recently improved sensor techniques and signal processing techniques, there is a lot of potential for frequency-domain investigations of AE; however, recent research has only extracted a small amount of data from the frequency-domain of AE. Because of the differentiation between high- and low-frequency ranges, AE can be examined in specific frequency bands. Moreover, Acoustic Emission with Machine Learning for detecting damages in steel. By using mean amplitude and standard deviation of the amplitude distributions, another important parameter, the b-value, is improved. However, the attenuation effect on the amplitude distribution is often overlooked, leading in a decrease in the b-value. The AE approach appears useful in structural health monitoring of industrial buildings with steel making up the majority of the structural elements, which is the most significant finding. According, to the findings, all the problems evaluated could be recognized using acoustic emissions. Therefore, real-time damage identification in a steel-framed structure is accomplished using our Acoustic emission approach.

5. CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

It is possible to do research to modify normal AE practises for concrete to be used in masonry. It would be a huge advancement to develop high-sensitivity, reliable, as well as integrated AE sensors for site surveillance.

1. In order to advance in this field, fibre optics with multi-frequency spectrum sensors are now being utilised. To provide a strong connection among AE data as well as the damage mechanism, the majority of sites surveillance concentrates on a single degrading event or places sensors close to active fracture areas. Devoted filtration methods with multi-sensor systems might be advantageous for applications in noisy environments (such as crossings) but several damage mechanisms (such as creep and salt efflorescence). Our understanding of AE signal propagation has recently increased with to advancements in instrumentation, sensors, signal processing, & data fusion techniques.
2. Considering current developments in high-speed digital waveform-based AE devices, large quantities of AE waveforms signals are now digitised then saved for evaluation. These developments have led to a greater comprehension of AE signal transmission in relation of directed acoustic patterns, especially when coupled with innovations in high fidelity, highly sensitive wideband detectors as well as the creation of contemporary PC-based signal processing techniques. The approach, later named "Modal AE," can shift away from the conventional focus on data analysis thus significantly improve AE's structural health monitoring capabilities.
3. Future SHM is anticipated to be enhanced by modern AE programs that make use of different modelling software & artificial intelligence technology. Future discussions are likely to focus on topics like newer, smaller sensors, monitoring systems, features but also grouping analytics, even AE monitoring in harsh environments, to name just a few. For sustainable communities, more effective AE procedures are needed now more than ever.

6. CONCLUSION

AE is a significant application method for monitoring structures because of its non-invasive nature and great sensitivity. The steel framework may be simply changed and expanded if necessary. Steel is a dimensionally accurate material produced using modern computer technology. Steel buildings allow for long spans. In order to lay a solid foundation for future developments as well as improve the use of AE sensing in steel, this review study offered a thorough literature review on damage identification in steel frame structures utilising the acoustic emission technique. In this review, we examined the application of acoustic emission to detect but also categorise harm to steel frames.

REFERENCES

1. **Emission, Mark, F.** Carlos-Acoustic. Heeding the Warning Sounds from Materials. *ASTM Standardisation News.* 2003.
2. **Holford, K.M. and Lark, R.J.** Acoustic emission testing of bridges, in Inspection and monitoring techniques for bridges and civil structures. *G. Fu, Editor. 2005, Wood head Publishing Limited and CRC*. P.183-215.
3. **Udwadia, Firdaus, E.** Methodology for optimum sensor locations for parameter identification in dynamic systems. *Journal of Engineering Mechanics.* 1994, 120(2), p. 368-390.
4. **Shah, P.C., and Udwadia, F.E.** A methodology for optimal sensor locations for identification of dynamic systems. 1978, p.188-196.
5. **Datta, A.K., Shrikhande, M., and Paul, D.K.** On the optimal location of sensors in multi-storeyed buildings. *Journal of earthquake engineering.* 2002, 6(1), p. 17-30.
6. **Li, Z.N., Tang, J., and Li, Q.S.** Optimal sensor locations for structural vibration measurements. *Applied Acoustics.* 2004, 65(8), p.807-818
7. **Calabrese, L., Galeano, M., Proverbio, E**. The use of b-value and Ib-value of acoustic emission in monitoring hydrogen-assisted cracking of martensitic stainless steel. *Int. J. Micro struct. Mater. Prop.* 2017, 12, p.165–182.
8. **Behnia, A., Chai, H.K., Shiotani, T.** Advanced structural health monitoring of concrete structures with the aid of acoustic emission. Constr. *Build. Mater.* 2014, 65, p.282–302.
9. **Shiotani, T., Yuyama, S., Li, ZW., Ohtsu, M.** Application of AE improved b-value to quantitative evaluation of fracture process in concrete materials. *J. Acoust. Emiss.* 2001, 19, p.118–133.
10. MISTRAS Group Inc. Express-8 AE System user's Manual, Rev 0, Part#: 7050-1000. *Physical Acoustic Corporation,* 2014
11. **Hatano, H., Watanabe, T.** Reciprocity calibration of acoustic emission transducers in Rayleigh wave and longitudinal wave sound field. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America.* 1997, 101, p.1450-1455
12. **Hsu, N.N., Breckenridge, F.R.** Characterization and calibration of acoustic emission sensors. *Materials Evaluation.* 1981, 39, p.60-68
13. Vallen Systeme GmbH. Acoustic Emission Sensors Specification; 2015. *Available from: http://www.vallen.de/zdownload/pdf/sov1507.pdf [Accessed 2018-07-03]*
14. **Shehadeh M., Steel J.A., Reuben R.L.** Acoustic emission source location for steel pipe and pipeline applications: the role of arrival time estimation. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers Part E-Journal of Process Mechanical Engineering.* 2006, 220(E2), p.121-133.
15. **Shetty N., Livitsanos G., Van Hemelrijck D., Aggelis D.G., Wevers M., Verstrynge E.** Characterization of mechanical behaviour of masonry under compression using acoustic emission. *in 8th International Conference on Acoustic Emission Kyoto, Japan.* 2016, p.365-370
16. **Shah S.P., Li Z.** Localization of micro cracking in concrete under uniaxial tension. *ACI Materials Journal.* 1994, 91(4), p.372–381.
17. **Grosse C.U., Reinhardt H.W., Dahm T.** Localization and classification of fracture types in concrete with quantitative acoustic emission measurement techniques. *NDT & E International.* 1997, 30(4), p.223-230
18. **Rao, S.S., & Subramanian, B.** Analysis of Acoustic Emission Signals using Wavelet

Transformation Technique. *Defense Science Journal*. 2008, 58(4), p.559.

19. **Ai, L., Greer, B., Hill, J., Soltangharaei, V., & Ziehl, R.A.P.** Finite element modelling of acoustic emission in dry cask storage systems generated by cosine bell sources. *In AIP Conference Proceedings.* 2019, 2102(1), 130001.
20. **Kosnik, D.E., Hopwood, T., & Corr, D.J**. Acoustic emission monitoring for assessment of steel bridge details. *In AIP Conference Proceedings,* 2011, 1335(1), 1410-1417.
21. **Amer, A.O., Gloanec, A.L., Courtin, S., & Touze, C.** Characterization of fatigue damage in 304L steel by an acoustic emission method. *Procedia Engineering.* 2013, 66, p. 651-660.
22. **Sivasuriyan, A., Vijayan, D.S., Górski, W., Wodzyński, Ł., Vaverková, M.D., & Koda, E.** Practical implementation of structural health monitoring in multi-story buildings. *Buildings*. 2021, 11(6), p. 263
23. **Colada, M., Prevorovsky, Z.** Remote AE monitoring of fatigue crack growth in complex aircraft structures. *In: Proceedings, 30th European Conference on Acoustic Emission Testing & 7th International Conference on Acoustic Emission,* 2012, p. 12-15.
24. **Di, Bo, Jingkai Wang, Haotian Li, Jinhang Zheng, Yu Zheng, and Gangbing Song.** Investigation of bonding behaviour of FRP and steel bars in self-compacting concrete structures using acoustic emission method. *Sensors*. 2019, 19(1), 159.
25. **Adamczak-Bugno, A., Swit, G., & Krampikowska, A.** Application of the Acoustic Emission Method in the Assessment of the Technical Condition of Steel Structures. *In IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering.* 2019, 471(3), p. 032041.
26. **Khan, M.T.I.** Structural Health Monitoring by Acoustic Emission. Structural Health Monitoring from Sensing to Processing. 2018, 23.
27. **Verstrynge, E., Lacidogna, G., Accornero, F., & Tomor, A.** A review on acoustic emission monitoring for damage detection in masonry structures. *Construction and Building Materials.* 2021, 268, 121089.
28. **Ghiassi, B., Verstrynge, E., Lourenço, P.B., & Oliveira, D.V.** Characterization of debonding in FRP-strengthened masonry using the acoustic emission technique. *Engineering Structures*. 2014, 66, p.24-34.
29. **Świt, G.** Acoustic emission method for locating and identifying active, destructive processes in operating facilities. *Applied Sciences.* 2018, 8(8), p.1295.
30. **Black, C.J., & Ventura, C.E.** Blind test on damage detection of a steel frame structure. In Society for Experimental Mechanics. *Inc, 16 the International Modal Analysis Conference.* 1998, 1, p. 623-629.
31. **Bernagozzi, G., Ventura, C.E., Allahdadian, S., Kaya, Y., Landi, L., & Diotallevi, P.P.** Application of modal flexibility-based deflections for damage diagnosis of a steel frame structure. *Procedia Engineering*. 2017, 199, p.2026-2033.
32. **Döhler, M., & Hille, F.** Subspace-based damage detection on steel frame structure under changing excitation. In *Structural Health Monitoring.* 2014, 5, p. 167-174.
33. **Hou, Z., Noori, M.N., & St Amand, R.** Wavelet-based approach for structural damage detection. *Journal of Engineering Mechanics.* 2000, 126(7), p.677.
34. **Das, A.K., Suthar, D., & Leung, C.K.** Machine learning-based crack mode classification from unlabeled acoustic emission waveform features. *Cement and Concrete Research*. 2019, 121, p.42-57.
35. **Azimi, M., Eslamlou, A.D., Pekcan, G.** Data-Driven Structural Health Monitoring, and Damage Detection through Deep Learning: State-of-the-Art Review.

Sensors. 2020, 20, p.2778. https://doi.org/10.3390/s20102778
36. **Paulraj, M.P., Yaacob, S., Majid, M.A., Kazim, M.N.F.M., & Krishnan, P.** Structural steel plate damage detection using non-destructive testing, frame energy-based statistical features, and artificial neural networks. *Procedia Engineering.* 2013, 53, p.376-386.
37. **Betti, M., Facchini, L., & Biagini, P.** Damage detection on a three-story steel frame using artificial neural networks and genetic algorithms. *Meccanica*, 2015, 50(3), p.875-886.

СПИСОК ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ

38. **Emission, Mark, F.** Carlos-Acoustic. Heeding the Warning Sounds from Materials. *ASTM Standardisation News.* 2003.
39. **Holford, K.M. and Lark, R.J.** Acoustic emission testing of bridges, in Inspection and monitoring techniques for bridges and civil structures. *G. Fu, Editor. 2005, Wood head Publishing Limited and CRC*. P.183-215.
40. **Udwadia, Firdaus, E.** Methodology for optimum sensor locations for parameter identification in dynamic systems. *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*. 1994, 120(2), p. 368-390.
41. **Shah, P.C., and Udwadia, F.E.** A methodology for optimal sensor locations for identification of dynamic systems. 1978, p.188-196.
42. **Datta, A.K., Shrikhande, M., and Paul, D.K.** On the optimal location of sensors in multi-storeyed buildings. *Journal of earthquake engineering.* 2002, 6(1), p. 17-30.
43. **Li, Z.N., Tang, J., and Li, Q.S.** Optimal sensor locations for structural vibration measurements. *Applied Acoustics.* 2004, 65(8), p.807-818
44. **Calabrese, L., Galeano, M., Proverbio, E**. The use of b-value and Ib-value of acoustic emission in monitoring hydrogen-assisted cracking of martensitic stainless steel. *Int. J. Micro struct. Mater. Prop.* 2017, 12, p.165–182.
45. **Behnia, A., Chai, H.K., Shiotani, T.** Advanced structural health monitoring of concrete structures with the aid of acoustic emission. Constr. *Build. Mater.* 2014, 65, p.282–302.
46. **Shiotani, T., Yuyama, S., Li, ZW., Ohtsu, M.** Application of AE improved b-value to quantitative evaluation of fracture process in concrete materials. *J. Acoust. Emiss.* 2001, 19, p.118–133.
47. MISTRAS Group Inc. Express-8 AE System user's Manual, Rev 0, Part#: 7050-1000. *Physical Acoustic Corporation,* 2014
48. **Hatano, H., Watanabe, T.** Reciprocity calibration of acoustic emission transducers in Rayleigh wave and longitudinal wave sound field. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America.* 1997, 101, p.1450-1455
49. **Hsu, N.N., Breckenridge, F.R.** Characterization and calibration of acoustic emission sensors. *Materials Evaluation.* 1981, 39, p.60-68
50. Vallen Systeme GmbH. Acoustic Emission Sensors Specification; 2015. *Available from: http://www.vallen.de/zdownload/pdf/sov1507.pdf [Accessed 2018-07-03]*
51. **Shehadeh M., Steel J.A., Reuben R.L.** Acoustic emission source location for steel pipe and pipeline applications: the role of arrival time estimation. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers Part E-Journal of Process Mechanical Engineering.* 2006, 220(E2), p.121-133.
52. **Shetty N., Livitsanos G., Van Hemelrijck D., Aggelis D.G., Wevers M., Verstrynge E.** Characterization of mechanical behaviour of masonry under compression using acoustic emission. *in 8th International Conference on Acoustic Emission Kyoto, Japan.* 2016, p.365-370

53. **Shah S.P., Li Z.** Localization of micro cracking in concrete under uniaxial tension. *ACI Materials Journal.* 1994, 91(4), p.372–381.
54. **Grosse C.U., Reinhardt H.W., Dahm T.** Localization and classification of fracture types in concrete with quantitative acoustic emission measurement techniques. *NDT & E International.* 1997, 30(4), p.223-230
55. **Rao, S.S., & Subramanian, B.** Analysis of Acoustic Emission Signals using Wavelet Transformation Technique. *Defense Science Journal*. 2008, 58(4), p.559.
56. **Ai, L., Greer, B., Hill, J., Soltangharaei, V., & Ziehl, R.A.P.** Finite element modelling of acoustic emission in dry cask storage systems generated by cosine bell sources. *In AIP Conference Proceedings.* 2019, 2102(1), 130001.
57. **Kosnik, D.E., Hopwood, T., & Corr, D.J**. Acoustic emission monitoring for assessment of steel bridge details. *In AIP Conference Proceedings,* 2011, 1335(1), 1410-1417.
58. **Amer, A.O., Gloanec, A.L., Courtin, S., & Touze, C.** Characterization of fatigue damage in 304L steel by an acoustic emission method. *Procedia Engineering.* 2013, 66, p. 651-660.
59. **Sivasuriyan, A., Vijayan, D.S., Górski, W., Wodzyński, Ł., Vaverková, M.D., & Koda, E.** Practical implementation of structural health monitoring in multi-story buildings. *Buildings*. 2021, 11(6), p. 263
60. **Colada, M., Prevorovsky, Z.** Remote AE monitoring of fatigue crack growth in complex aircraft structures. *In: Proceedings, 30th European Conference on Acoustic Emission Testing & 7th International Conference on Acoustic Emission,* 2012, p. 12-15.
61. **Di, Bo, Jingkai Wang, Haotian Li, Jinhang Zheng, Yu Zheng, and Gangbing Song.** Investigation of bonding behaviour of FRP and steel bars in self-compacting concrete structures using acoustic emission method. *Sensors.* 2019, 19(1), 159.
62. **Adamczak-Bugno, A., Swit, G., & Krampikowska, A.** Application of the Acoustic Emission Method in the Assessment of the Technical Condition of Steel Structures. *In IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering.* 2019, 471(3), p. 032041.
63. **Khan, M.T.I.** Structural Health Monitoring by Acoustic Emission. Structural Health Monitoring from Sensing to Processing. 2018, 23.
64. **Verstrynge, E., Lacidogna, G., Accornero, F., & Tomor, A.** A review on acoustic emission monitoring for damage detection in masonry structures. *Construction and Building Materials.* 2021, 268, 121089.
65. **Ghiassi, B., Verstrynge, E., Lourenço, P.B., & Oliveira, D.V.** Characterization of debonding in FRP-strengthened masonry using the acoustic emission technique. *Engineering Structures*. 2014, 66, p.24-34.
66. **Świt, G.** Acoustic emission method for locating and identifying active, destructive processes in operating facilities. *Applied Sciences.* 2018, 8(8), p.1295.
67. **Black, C.J., & Ventura, C.E.** Blind test on damage detection of a steel frame structure. In Society for Experimental Mechanics. *Inc, 16 the International Modal Analysis Conference.* 1998, 1, p. 623-629.
68. **Bernagozzi, G., Ventura, C.E., Allahdadian, S., Kaya, Y., Landi, L., & Diotallevi, P.P.** Application of modal flexibility-based deflections for damage diagnosis of a steel frame structure. *Procedia Engineering*. 2017, 199, p.2026-2033.
69. **Döhler, M., & Hille, F.** Subspace-based damage detection on steel frame structure under changing excitation. In *Structural Health Monitoring*. 2014, 5, p. 167-174.
70. **Hou, Z., Noori, M.N., & St Amand, R.** Wavelet-based approach for structural

damage detection. *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*. 2000, 126(7), p.677.
71. **Das, A.K., Suthar, D., & Leung, C.K.** Machine learning-based crack mode classification from unlabeled acoustic emission waveform features. *Cement and Concrete Research*. 2019, 121, p.42-57.
72. **Azimi, M., Eslamlou, A.D., Pekcan, G.** Data-Driven Structural Health Monitoring, and Damage Detection through Deep Learning: State-of-the-Art Review. *Sensors*. 2020, 20, p.2778. https://doi.org/10.3390/s20102778
73. **Paulraj, M.P., Yaacob, S., Majid, M.A., Kazim, M.N.F.M., & Krishnan, P.** Structural steel plate damage detection using non-destructive testing, frame energy-based statistical features, and artificial neural networks. *Procedia Engineering*. 2013, 53, p.376-386.
74. **Betti, M., Facchini, L., & Biagini, P.** Damage detection on a three-story steel frame using artificial neural networks and genetic algorithms. *Meccanica*, 2015, 50(3), p.875-886.

Anupam Kumar Biswas, Research Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, NIT Durgapur, 713209, W.B India,Assistant Professor, Dr. B.C. Roy Engineering College, Durgapur 713206, W.B India, anupamkumarbiswas123@gmail.com.

Aloke Kumar Datta, Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, NIT Durgapur, Durgapur 713209, W.B India, alokekumardatta1234@gmail.com.

Pijush Topdar, Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, NIT Durgapur, Durgapur 713209, W.B India, pijushtopdar@gmail.com.

Sanjay Sengupta, Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Dr. B.C. Roy Engineering College, Durgapur, 713206, W.B, India. anjaysengupta321@gmail.com.

Анупам Кумар Бисвас, научный сотрудник, факультет гражданского строительства, НИТ Дургапур, 713209, Западная Индия, доцент, Инженерный колледж им. доктора Б. К. Роя, Дургапур 713206, Индия, anupamkumarbiswas123@gmail.com.

Алок Кумар Дате, доцент кафедры гражданского строительства, NET Durgapur, Дургапур 713209, Западная Индия, alokekumardatta1234@gmail.com.

Пиджуш Топдар, доцент кафедры гражданского строительства, г. Дургапур 713209, Индия, pijushtopdar@gmail.com.

Санджай Сенгупта, доцент кафедры гражданского строительства инженерного колледжа им. доктора Б.К. Роя, Дургапур, 713206, Индия. anjaysengupta321@gmail.com.